

### REMARKS

Claims 1-14 and 16-34 are pending, with claims 1, 16, 18, 20, 21, 27, 30 and 33 being independent. Claims 1-14, 16-19, and 21-32 have been amended to recite "a mobile computer comprising a camera portion; an image receiving portion; an operation switch; and a display device ...". This amendment is supported by Fig. 19A and page 54, line 27 to page 55, line 1 of the specification. No new matter has been added.

The supplemental amendment filed December 16, 2004 has not been acknowledged. Therefore, the amendments to the specification submitted with that supplemental amendment to correct typographical errors are being re-submitted with this amendment.

Applicants believe that reference "B" listed on the Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) is cited in error and should be U.S. 5,811,857 (Assaderaghi et al.), rather than U.S. 5,811,587 (Moreau et al.). It is respectfully requested that the Examiner reply to this statement.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the allowance of claims 20, 25, 33, and 34.

Independent claim 1, along with its dependent claims 2-14 and 22, have been rejected as being unpatentable over Sasaki (U.S. Patent No. 5,818,068) in view of Funai (U.S. Patent No. 5,550,070). Applicants have amended claim 1 to obviate this rejection.

Claim 1, as amended, recites a "a mobile computer comprising: a camera portion; an image receiving portion; an operation switch; and a display device ...". Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1, and its dependent claims, because neither Sasaki, Funai, nor any proper combination of the two describes or suggests a mobile computer having a camera portion, an image receiving portion, an operation switch, and a display device.

Sasaki describes a thin film transistor circuit that may be used in an active matrix display device. Sasaki, however, does not describe or suggest a mobile computer having a camera portion, an image receiving portion, an operation switch, and a display device.

Funai describes a method for producing a crystalline semiconductor film with a reduced concentration of catalyst elements to be used in a semiconductor device. Funai, like Sasaki,

however, neither describes nor suggests a mobile computer, much less a mobile computer having the recited camera portion, image receiving portion, operation switch, and display device.

Accordingly, for at least these reasons, applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of independent claim 1, and its dependent claims 2-14 and 22.

Independent claims 16 and 27, along with their dependent claims 17, 23, 28, and 29, have been rejected as being unpatentable over Hush (U.S. Patent No. 5,638,085) in view of Assaderaghi (U.S. Patent No. 5,811,857). Applicants have amended claims 16 and 27 to obviate this rejection.

Claim 16 and 27, as amended, each recite a “a mobile computer comprising: a camera portion; an image receiving portion; an operation switch; and a display device ...” Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 16 and 27, and their dependent claims, because neither Hush, Assaderaghi, nor any proper combination of the two describes or suggests a mobile computer having a camera portion, an image receiving portion, an operation switch, and a display device.

Hush describes a method and system for maintaining synchronization of matrix displays. Hush, however, does not describe or suggest the recited mobile computer having a camera portion, an image receiving portion, an operation switch, and a display device.

Assaderaghi describes a silicon-on-insulator body-coupled diode circuit with improved ESD protection and diode ideality. Assaderaghi, like Hush, Sasaki, Funai, and all of the cited art, does not describe or suggest the recited mobile computer having a camera portion, an image receiving portion, an operation switch, and a display device.

Accordingly, for at least these reasons, applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 16 and 27, and their dependent claims 17, 23, 28, and 29.

Independent claims 18, 21, and 30, along with their dependent claims 19, 24, 26, 31, and 32, have been rejected as being unpatentable over Hush in view of Sasaki. Each of claims 18, 21, and 30 have been amended to recite “a mobile computer comprising: a camera portion; an image receiving portion; an operation switch; and a display device ....” As described above, neither Hush, Sasaki, nor any proper combination of the two describes or suggests a mobile

Applicant : Shunpei Yamazaki et al.  
Serial No. : 09/924,337  
Filed : August 6, 2001  
Page : 15 of 15

Attorney's Docket No.: 07977-211003 / US3517D1D1

computer having a camera portion, an image receiving portion, an operation switch, and a display device. Accordingly, for at least this reason, applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 18, 21, and 30, and their dependent claims 19, 24, 26, 31, and 32.

Applicants submit that all claims are in condition for allowance.

No fees are believed due. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

8/25/05



Roberto J. Devoto  
Reg. No. 55,108

Customer No. 26171  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
1425 K Street, N.W., 11th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005-3500  
Telephone: (202) 783-5070  
Facsimile: (202) 783-2331